# Actual Results for using Nitrogen Technologies versus Compressed Air 

| Liquid | Avg. | Avg. | Avg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per Paint Hr. | Base Coats | Clear Coats | Spray Time |


| PPG / Global/ Nexus |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer 1 | $7 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Customer 2 | $20 \%$ |  |  | $25 \%$ |
| Customer 3 | $35 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Customer 4 | $30 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Customer 5 | $31 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Customer 6 | $29 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Customer 7 | $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $63 \%$ |
| Customer 8 (W aterbourne) | $58 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $61 \%$ |

Liquid Per Paint Hour reflects the savings using Nitrogen Technologies versus Compressed Air in the liquids used per Paint Hour

Average Base Coats reflects the savings in number of coats used to cover using Nitrogen Technologiesversus Compressed Air

Average Clear Coats reflects the savings in number of coats used to cover using Nitrogen Technologies versus Compressed Air

Average Spray Time reflects the savings in time required to spray with Nitrogen Technologies versus Compressed Air

